codes were assigned to Verizon wire centers. NYDPS found that there are at least four carriers providing wireless service in each county of New York State. Thus, for our impairment test, all wire centers reflect wireless availability.

II. SUBSTITUTABILITY OF ALTERNATIVES

NYDPS proposes a suggested scale assigning substitutability weights to each intermodal offering considered in the intermodal impairment test. The weights assigned represent our best educated estimates and take into consideration variables such as level of service quality; necessity of entering long-term contracts; necessity of subscribing to broadband internet service; and E911 availability.

A. Switching Impairment Methodology

NYDPS impairment test calculates a weighted index representing the availability of alternatives in the area served by each wire center. The impairment index measures the availability of competitive options to UNE-P based service by providing a total score based on the relative substitutability of available intermodal alternatives.

The intermodal alternatives were assigned the following weighted values:

- UNE-L providers of residential service = 1.
- UNE-L providers of "18 or lines or less" = .50.
- Internet access via cable modem or DSL (VoIP availability) = .75.
- PacketCable phone service = 1.
- More than 2 wireless offerings available = .50.

The total score is created by adding together each component value by wire center. For example, Verizon's wire center on State Street in Albany, New York, would have an impairment index value of 3.25 since customers served by that wire center have four competitive choices. UNE-L is only counted once. If service is available to residential customers, the wire center receives a "1", not a "1" and a ".50".

B. Switching Impairment Conclusion

NYDPS viewed a total score of 2.75 or greater as sufficient to make a finding of "no impairment." The following table summarizes the impairment test results across Verizon's 520 New York wire centers:

Impairment Index	Wire Centers	UNE-P Lines	Total Lines	
0.5	3	588	3,539	
1.25	32	12,222	72,868	
1.75	34	62,951	326,308	
2.25	175	156,636	913,763	
Total <2.75	244	232,397	1,316,478	
2.75	117	388,350	1,863,948	
3.25	159	1,208,018	7,699,131	
Total >= 2.75	276	1,596,368	9,563,079	
Total	520	1,828,765	10,879,557	

Additionally, Appendix D contains maps depicting the results of our impairment test for New York. Those wire centers remaining impaired after the test has been applied (total score ≤ 2.75) are in blue, while "unimpaired" wire centers (total score $\geq = 2.75$) are in red.

APPENDIX B TRANSPORT LOCIT REGRESSION ANALYSIS

In response to the Commission's August 20, 2004 NPRM, NYDPS used data initially collected for its TRO proceeding to create a logit regression analysis attempting to calculate the potential for competition along routes not triggered by the TRO. We analyzed factors present on routes with existing transport competition (as measured by the TRO triggers) to determine if such factors correlate substantially with the development of competition along those routes.

I. TRANSPORT ANALYSIS VARIABLES

A. UNE-L Service to Customers with 18 Lines or Less

NYDPS used the same data source as that in its switching impairment test.⁶⁸ This data represents the number of CLECs serving small business and residence customers having 18 lines or less.

B. UNE-L Service to Residential Customers

NYDPS used the same data source as that in its impairment test excluding small business in the definition of mass market.⁶⁹

C. Total Lines

This information was taken from Verizon's response to CLEC information requests in NYDPS' TRO proceeding and represents Verizon's total switched access lines as of June 2003. The number of total switched access lines equals the combined number of retail, resale and UNE-P lines.

D. UNE-L Rate

This information was taken from Appendix A of NYDPS Order Instituting Verizon's Incentive Plan. 70

⁶⁸ See Appendix A.

⁶⁹ See Appendix A.

NYPSC Case 00-C-1945, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Consider Cost Recovery by Verizon and to Investigate the Future Regulatory Framework, NYPSC Case 98-C-1357, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Examine New York Telephone Company's Rates for Unbundled Network Elements (Issued and Effective February 27, 2002).

E. Square Miles per Wire Center

This information was taken from wire center specific data contained in the HAI 5.2-NY Model (HAI Model) jointly sponsored by AT&T and WorldCom.⁷¹

F. Proportion of Residential to Business Customers

This information was also gathered from the wire center specific data contained in the HAI 5.2-NY Model (HAI Model) jointly sponsored by AT&T and WorldCom.

G. Annual Mean Household Income

This information was taken from United States 2000 census data regarding the average household earnings for residential customers on a Zip Code basis.

II. TRANSPORT IMPAIRMENT METHODOLOGY

NYDPS transport logit regression analysis examines the foregoing characteristics as found on unimpaired routes determined under the Commission's TRO triggers. Those characteristics are analyzed on adjacent routes to determine with what probability competition is likely to develop. NYDPS accomplished this by adding information regarding wire center characteristics to trigger database information; identifying which characteristics are most correlated with the provision of alternative transport; determining if non-triggered "A to C"⁷² routes have same important characteristics as triggered "A to B" routes, and investigating market failures on a case by case basis.

NYDPS found that there are approximately 27,000 possible interoffice, intraLATA transport routes between Verizon's New York State wire centers. Approximately 15,700 of those routes are associated with wire centers in which at least one CLEC uses its own switches to serve 18 line or less mass market customers.

Given the data submitted in that proceeding by competitive transport providers, NYDPS compiled a list of 135 routes having three or more transport competitors of any type. Of those 135 routes. 72 routes were determined to have passed at least one of the five TRO transport triggers dedicated DS1, DS3, dark fiber transport. Consistent with

See NYPSC Order on Unbundled Network Element Rates, Exhibit 314-[RAM4] filed in NYPSC Case 98-C-1357, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Examine New York Telephone Company's Rates for Unbundled Network Elements (Issued and Effective January 28, 2002).

⁷² "A to C" and "A to B" designations are taken from the D.C. Circuit's *USTA II* discussion regarding its discomfort with the Commission's TRO route by route analysis.

⁷³ Verizon identified 4.141 candidate routes for non-impairment status in its October 2003 filing in the NYPSC TRO proceeding.

our intermodal view of switching, however, we have focused on the 135 route count which includes transport between Verizon central offices for cable companies.⁷⁴

NYDPS logit regression analysis was developed to address the D.C. Circuit's concern that the TRO triggers considered routes on an individual basis, ignoring facilities deployment on one route when examining an adjacent route. NYDPS examined the following factors as possibly being correlated with competitive entry: whether CLEC switches were used for residential service; whether CLEC switches were used to provide service to 18 line or less customers; the total number of customers served; UNE-L rates (that may be correlated with other factors such as margins); square mileage (density); the proportion of residential to business customers; and net household income (purchasing power).

NYDPS specified the likelihood of three or more transport competitors on an "A to B" route using the following logistic equation:

$$\ln\left[\frac{p_{transport_comp}}{1 - p_{transport_comp}}\right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1(switch_res) + \beta_2(switch_18_lines) + \beta_3(tot_lines)
+ \beta_4(une_l_rate) + \beta_5(sqmi) + \beta_6(res_prop) + \beta_7(income)
+ \sum_{i=1}^{5} \delta_i(lata_indicator_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

where the left side of the equation is the log of the odds of three or more transport competitors.

Specifically, the variables used in the right hand side of the regression equation are constructed using information collected for each end point wire center associated with each triggered route. To create a route's specific variable, we either total the information at the two end points, or average the information, as follows:

```
switch_18_line_=switch_18_line_a+switch_18_line_b
switch_res_=switch_res_a+switch_res_b
tot_lines_=tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b
une_1_rate_=(une_1_rate_a*(tot_lines_a/(tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b))+une_1_rate_b*(tot_lines_b/(tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b)))
sqmi_=sqmi_a+sqmi_b
resprop_=(resprop_a*(tot_lines_a/(tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b))+resprop_b*(tot_lines_b/(tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b)))
```

The difference between Verizon's count and NYDPS results is that Verizon counted more collocations as being operationally ready to provide transport than those to which the CLECs attested as actually being operationally ready. Thus, using information provided by the CLECs, NYDPS made its determination that 72 routes were triggered by the TRO, and an additional 63 routes had three or more self-provisioning competitors, some of which were cable companies.

income_=income_a*(tot_lines_a/(tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b))+
income_b*(tot_lines_b/(tot_lines_a+tot_lines_b)))

Also included in the model were LATA indicator variables.

The signs of the explanatory variables meet our expectations with the exception of the margin variable. Those estimates with odds ratios much different than 1 are the most telling in terms of their effect on competitive entry. For example, the estimated odds ratio of 3.03 for the 18 lines or less switch variable should be interpreted as: "the odds of having 3 or more transport competitors increase by more than a 3 to 1 ratio with the presence of additional CLEC switches serving 18 lines or less customers." The estimated coefficients and statistical significance are summarized in the following table:

				Number of obs =	26450
Transformed Dependent Vatransport comp	ariable =			LR chi2(10) =	5275.4
				Prob > chi2 =	
Log likelihood = -695.657	6			Pseudo R2 =	0.791
Explanatory Variable	Odds Ratio	Coefficient Estimate	Standard Error	Z	P>z
switch res	0.6917555	-0.3685227	0.0907561	-4.06	
switch_18_lines_	3.03273	1.109463	0.0596284	18.61	
tot_lines_	1.000015	0.000015	1.86E-06	8.04	
une_l_rate_	1.282584	0.2488764	0.0951908	2.61	0.00
sqmi	0.9516942	-0.0495115	0.0073432	-6.74	
resprop_	0.001081	-6.829871	0.5731995	-11.92	
income_	1.000005	4.73E-06	4.53E-06	1.04	0.29
lata_alb	50101.27	10.8218	0.6310914	17.15	
lata_buff	1748470	14.37425	0.6231934	23.07	
lata_syt	175743.7	12.07678	0.7873747	15.34	
constant		-20.76803	1.333524	-15.57	

III. TRANSPORT LOGIT ANALYSIS RESULTS

For those 135 routes which actually have 3 or more transport competitors, the model's estimated probability of competition is 67% on average. The "goodness of fit" (R2) coefficient indicates a 79% explanatory power.

The regression analysis identifies 46 potentially unimpaired "A to C" routes from the latest regression including: 8 routes with zero competitors; 12 routes with one competitor; and 26 routes with two competitors. In other words, the regression analysis

demonstrates 46 "A to C" routes having more than a 50% likelihood of competition. Omitted from the results are the following routes with less than an estimated probability of 50%: 163 routes with two competitors; 608 routes with one competitor; and about 26,000 routes with zero competitors.

Appendix D contains a map showing the routes in Verizon's New York territory with three or more competitors.

IV. TRANSPORT IMPAIRMENT CONCLUSION

The available factors that are present on routes with three or more competitors do not substantially correlate with the competition on those routes. Additionally, because those factors do not substantially correlate with the emergence of competition on triggered routes, such factors are not an accurate predictor of competition on non-triggered routes.

APPENDIX C NYDPS DATA COLLECTION MARCH 31, 2004 NYDPS MEMORANDUM

The following pages contain a NYDPS memorandum that was circulated to the parties to NYDPS TRO proceeding explaining our data collection efforts and detailing the results.

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA, ALBANY, NY 12223-1350

Internet Address: http://www.dps.state.ny.us

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

WILLIAM M. FLYNN Chairman THOMAS J. DUNLEAVY JAMES D. BENNETT LEONARD A. WEISS NEAL N. GALVIN



DAWN JABLONSKI RYMAN General Counsel

JACLYN A. BRILLING
Secretary

March 31, 2004

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE STAFF'S ANALYSIS OF SWITCHING AND TRANSPORT TRIGGERS

Background

As part of the New York State Public Service Commission's (Commission) Case 03-C-0821, implementing the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) August 21, 2003 Triennial Review Order (TRO), Staff compiled, in consultation with numerous parties and non-parties to the proceeding, questions designed to obtain relevant information to implement the unbundling requirements specified in 47 CFR § 51.319. Staff collected information specifically related to satisfying the FCC's transport, switch, and high-capacity loop trigger analysis via an information request sent on October 3, 2003. Thereafter, on October 17, 2003, Staff sent a clarification that addressed questions raised by the parties regarding issues presented in the information request.

On November 17, 2003, Staff distributed a preliminary summary of the results of its data collection efforts. These results were discussed at a technical conference held on December 2, 2003. During the conference, it was decided that all CLECs who were parties to the proceeding would be invited to participate in a group effort to produce a joint set of additional information requests. CLEC-to-CLEC switching-related requests were issued on December 15, 2003 and CLEC-to-ILEC requests were distributed on December 22, 2003. Follow-up transport information requests were issued on December 16, 2003. Responses to all follow-up requests were due on January 9, 2004.

In light of the D.C. Circuit's *USTA II* decision, which vacated and remanded portions of the TRO, including the FCC's national impairment findings for dedicated transport and switching, these data gathering efforts will need to be re-evaluated. But in view of Chairman Flynn's commitment to moving forward and the upcoming technical conference to discuss

Information regarding high-capacity loops was only collected in Frontier of Rochester's territory because Verizon New York, Inc. elected to not challenge those TRO findings at this time.

information gathering efforts in light of the D.C. Circuit decision, the following is presented to inform the parties of the above-referenced data collection effort. The results presented below obviously do not represent an ultimate finding in Case 03-C-0821.

<u>Summary</u>

A. SWITCHING

1. Criteria

The FCC enumerated certain criteria to be followed when applying the switching-related triggers. Staff applied the local switching self-provisioning trigger as specified in 47 CFR §51.319 (d) (iii) (A) (1).²

2. Issues

The following may affect the outcome of the switching trigger analysis:

- Definition of Mass Market The mass market has not yet been defined.³
- Qualifying Provider Staff's analysis excluded wireless and data switches.⁴ Staff did include cable as an eligible switch provider.⁵
- Defining the Geographic Market The relevant geographic market must be defined, and consideration must be given to a competitor's ability to serve specific markets economically and efficiently using currently available technologies.⁶
 Staff's analysis is conducted on a wire center by wire center basis.
- Actively Providing The self-provisioning trigger goes to identifying competitive carriers with switches that are actively providing voice service. Accordingly, there may be switches serving very few UNE-L lines, and those switches could be deemed as not "actively providing" and, therefore, not eligible for the switching trigger. 8

3. Application of Switching Triggers

On page 5 of its November 10, 2003 letter to Judge Linsider in this proceeding, Verizon indicated that it would not challenge the local switching competitive wholesale facilities trigger specified in 47 CFR §51.319 (d) (iii) (A) (2).

TRO at ¶459.

id. at ¶445.

Id. at ¶501, footnote 1560.

ld. at ¶495.

Id. at ¶499.

Staff is providing its compilation of lines served by CLEC switches on a separate disk along with its revised underlying switching and transport data base. Note, some parties did not provide information on the number of lines served by their switches.

- Staff's list of relevant wire centers are in Attachment 1 (including small business in the definition of mass market)⁹ and Attachment 2 (excluding small business in the definition of mass market).¹⁰
- If mass market is interpreted to include carriers serving residential or business customers with 18 lines or less, then 162 Verizon and 7 Frontier wire centers could potentially pass the trigger. If mass market is interpreted to include only carriers offering service to residential customers, then 19 Verizon and no Frontier wire centers could potentially pass the trigger.

B. TRANSPORT

1. Criteria

The FCC enumerates certain transport trigger tests in 47 CFR §§51.319 (e) (1), (2) & (3). Staff applied the following triggers:

Competitive wholesale facilities trigger for dedicated DS1 transport 47 CFR § 51.319 (e) (1) (ii) - (2 or more competing providers)

Self-provisioning trigger for dedicated DS3 transport 47 CFR § 51.319 (e) (2) (i) (A) - (3 or more competing providers)

Competitive wholesale facilities trigger for dedicated DS3 transport 47 CFR § 51.319 (e) (2) (i) (B) - (2 or more competing providers)

Self-provisioning trigger for dark fiber transport 47 CFR § 51.319 (e) (3) (i) (A) - (3 or more competing providers)

Competitive wholesale facilities trigger for dark fiber transport 47 CFR § 51.319 (e) (3) (i) (B) - (2 or more competing providers)

2. Issues

The following may affect transport outcomes:

• Staff assumed that the two end points of a candidate route are connected all the way through unless the CLECs provided additional information indicating that the fiber exiting a collocation arrangement goes 1) directly to a CLEC switch or 2) transits another carrier's facilities somewhere along the candidate A to Z route.

Id. at #127

¹⁰ Id. at footnote 432.

- Staff did not assume that a route that met a DS3 trigger implied that it also automatically met a DS1 trigger.
- Staff dropped routes associated with collocations based on subsequently provided CLEC information indicating that those collocations were not operationally ready to provide transport.

Questions regarding the assumption that two end points of a candidate route are connected all the way through, coupled with a possible disparity in the count between those collocations assumed by Verizon to be operationally ready versus collocations attested to as being operationally ready by the CLECs providing data to Staff, resulted in a Staff determination that more information was needed. On December 23, 2003, a Staff letter requested clarification from the parties regarding the operational status of collocation nodes at issue on each CLEC's network.

- 3. Application of Transport Triggers
- Additional information regarding operational readiness decreased the 270 routes identified by Staff on November 17, 2003 to 219 routes (Attachment 3).
- These 219 routes decreased to 126 routes based on incomplete information provided regarding the type of facility provisioned or an entry of "NA" for type of facility provisioned.
- Exclusion of interLATA routes¹¹ reduced the number of routes to 100.
- These 100 routes are comprised of

```
36 wholesale DS-1 routes (Attachment 4);
48 self-provisioned DS-3 routes (Attachment 5);
37 wholesale DS-3 routes (Attachment 6);
46 self-provisioned dark fiber routes (Attachment 7); and
0 competitive dark fiber routes (Attachment 8).
```

- Of the remaining 100 routes, 72 are in Verizon's New York service territory and 28 are in the Frontier of Rochester service territory (Attachment 9).
- The results of the above queries are subject to change as more information is provided.

Distribution of Data

As was done following the December 2, 2003 technical conference in this proceeding, Staff is providing the data underlying this analysis in an easy to manipulate format. Only active parties' data is being released. As discussed above, Staff is also providing its compilation of lines served by CLEC switches.

¹¹ Id. at ¶365.

A disk containing these proprietary data will be sent to a representative on the active party list who executed the protective order in Case 03-C-0821. This information should only be shared on an as needed basis with others who have signed the protective order.

Attachments to March 31, 2004

NY PSC Case 03-C-0821

Department of Public Service Staff's

Analysis of Switching & Transport Triggers

Staff List of Wire Centers With 3 or More CLEC Switches Serving Residential and Small Business Customers per TRO Paragraph 127

				par inc i magraphi i				
WIRE_CNTR	Wire Center Name	Count	WIRE_CNTR	Wire Center Nome	Count	WIRE_CNTR	Wire Center Name	Count
NYCMNY30	E. 30Th St. NY	10	BFLONYEL	Buffalo-Elmwood Ave. NY	5	NYCMNYWA	W. 176Th St. NY	4
NYCMNY56	E 56Th St. NY	10	BFLONYHE	Buffalo-Hertel Ave. NY	5	WSNCNYUN	W. Seneca-Union Rd. NY	4
NYCMNY13	Second Ave. NY	10	NYCMNYCA	Convent Ave. NY	5	AMSTNYPE	Amsterdam NY	3
NYCMNY18	W 18Th St. NY	10	DRPKNYDP	Deer Park NY	5	ARVGNYAV	Armonk NY	3
NYCMNY38	W 36Th St. NY	10	ENPTNYEN	E. Northport NY	5	AUBNNYAU	Auburn NY	3
NYCMNY50	W 50Th St. NY	10	NYCKNYFA	Fairview Ave. NY	5	NYCKNYAI	Ave. I NY	3
WHPLNYWP	White Plains NY	10	GLFLNYGF	Giens Falls NY	5	BAVLNYBV	Baldwinsville NY	3
NYCMNYBS	104 Broad St. NY	9	NYCONYHS	Hollrs NY	5	BRWSNYBW	Brewster NY	3
NYCMNYWS	140 West St. NY	9	MSPQNYMP	Massapeque NY	5	NYCKNYBU	Bushwick Ave. NY	3
NYCMNY37	E. 37Th St. NY	9	MITVRNYMV	Mount Vernori NY	5	CICRMYCJ	Cicero NY	3
NYCMNY79	E. 79Th St. NY	9	PSVLNYPV	Pleasantville NY	5	CLAYNYOS	Clay/Liverpool NY	3
HMPSNYHS	Hempsteed MY	9	NYCONYRH	Richmond Hill MY	5	CLPKNYCP	Clifton Park NY	3
HCVLNYHV	Hicksville NY	9	ROLNNYRO	Roslyn NY	5	CHMICHYCM	Commeck NY	3
HNSTNYHU	Huntington NY	9	SYRCHYSU	Sympouse-State St. NY	5	DUMPHYDA	Delmar NY	3
NYCMNY42	W. 42Nd St. NY	9	WHIGNYWT	Wantegh NY	5	NYCONYFR	Fitr Rockeway NY	3
NYCMNY73	W, 73Rd St. NY	9	NYCKNYWM	Williamsburg NY	5	FYVLNYFV	Filyetteville NY	3
NYCMNY97	E. 97Th St. NY	8	WSVLNYNC	Wittemsville NY	5	GLCVNYGC	Gian Cove NY	3
LYBRNYL8	Lynbrook NY	9	YNKRNYYN	Yonkers NY	5	GDISNYGI	Grand faland NY	3
MINLNYMI	Mineola NY	8	NYCONYOP	115Th Ave. NY	4	HMBGNYHB	Hemburg NY	3
NYCMNYVS	Vanck St. NY	8	NYCKNYFT	14Th Ave. NY	4	NYCXNYHO	Hoe Ave. NY	3
ALBYNYWA	Albany-Washington Ave. NY	7	NYCKNYAL	Albemarie Rd NY	4	HDSNNYHD	Hudson NY	3
NYCKNYBR	Bridge St. NY	7	NYCKNYAR	Ave RNY	4	NYCONYIA	J. F. Kennedy NY	3
BFLONYFR	Buffalo-Franklin St. NY	7	NYCKNYAU	Ave. U NY	4	NYCXNYKB	Kingsbridge Ave. NY	3
BRWDNYBW	Central Islip NY	7	BBYLNYBN	Babylon NY	4	NYCKNYLA	Liberty Ave. NY	3
NYCXNYCR	Cruger Ave: NY	7	BALSNYBA	Beliston Spe NY	4	MNHSNYMH	Manhasest NY	3
FROLNYFM	Farmingdale NY	7	BYSHNYBY	Bayshore NY	4	NWBRNYNW	Newburgh NY	
NYCONYFL	Flusning NY	7	BFLONYMA	Buffalo-Main SI NY	4	NGFLNYPO	Nisgara Falls-Portage NY	3
FRPTNYFP	Freeport NY	7	BFLONYSP	Buffalo-S. Park Ave NY	4	ONEDNYOD	Oneida NY	3
GRCYNYGC	Garden City NY	7	NYCONYCO	Corona NY	*	ORPKNYST	Orchard Park NY	3
ALBYNYGD	Guilderland NY	7	OBFYNYDF	Dobbs Ferry NY	4	OSNGNYOS	Ossining NY	3
NYCKNYKP	Kenmore Pl. NY	7	NYCXNYMH	E 150Th St NY		PLBGNYPB	Plattsburgh NY	3
PCHGNYPH	Patchogue NY	7	NYCXNYJE	E 167Th St. NY	- 1	PTWANYPW PROYNYPD	Port Washington NY Purdys NY	3
SCHNNYSC	Schenectady-Clinton St. NY	7	GNBGNYFV	Fairview Park NY	4			3
NYCXNYTR	Traiman Ave. NY	7	NYCXNYGC	Grand Concourse NY	4	RVHDNYRV	Riverhead NY	3
MBANNAME	Westbury NY	7	HRSNNYHN	Hamson NY	4	NYCKNYRA ROMENYRM	Rockaway Ave. NY Rome NY	3
ALBYNYS\$	Albany-State St. NY	6	"IACONATA	Jamaica NY	4	NYCRNYSS	S. Staten Island NY	3
NYCKNYCL	Clinton Ave. NY	6	_VCSNYLC	Lancaster NY	4	SLDNNYSE	Seiden NY	3
FLPKNYFP	≓lorai Park NY	6	_~HMNYTS	Latham NY Laureiton NY	4	SPVYNYSV	Spring Valley NY	3
NYCONYFH	Forest Hills NY	6	NYCONYLN	Levitown NY	7	SYRCNYEP	Syracuse-Electronics Play N	_
GRNKNYGN	Great Nack NY	6 6	LVTWNYLT ⊆HSTNYLH	Lindenhurst NY		CMLSNYON	Syracuse-Fairmount NY	3
NYCONYL:	L ! C NY	6	LNBHNYLB	Long Beach NY	4	SYRCHYSA	Syracuse-S. Saline NY	3
NYCMNYMN	Manhattan Ave. NY	6	MTKSNYMK	Mount Kisco NY	4	NYCMNYTH	Thayer St. NY	3
NWRCNYNR	New Rochelle NY	6	NGRNINYNG	N Greenbush NY	4	NYCKNYTY	Troy Ave. NY	3
NYCONYNW	Newtown NY	6	NYCONYNJ	N. Jamaica NY	4	TROYNY94	Troy-Fourth St. NY	3
PLVWNYPV	Plainwew NY Port Chester NY	6	NYCRNYNS	N Staten Island NY	4	NYCRNYWS	W Staten Island NY	3
PTCHNYPC	Ronkonkoma NY	6	NYCRNYND	New Dorp NY	4	WTTWNYUN	Watertown NY	3
RNKNNYRN SYVLNYSA	Sayville NY	6	NYACNYNK	Nyack NY	4	WDMRNYFR	Woodmere NY	3
		6	"YCMNYPS	Pean St NY	4		:hester Service Territory**	
SMTVVNYSM SYOSNYSY	Smirtown NY Syosset NY	6	SRSPNYSR	Saratoga NY	4		ounts May Be Oversialed	
NYCKNY14	14Th St NY	5	SCDLNYSR	Scarsdale NY	4	BITNNYXA	Rochester -BHTL	4
NYCKNY71	71St St. NY	5	SYRCHYJS	Syracuse-James St NY	4	ROCHNYXK	Rochester - Pixley Rd	4
NYCKNY77	77Th SLNY	5	TRTWNYTT	Tarrytown NY	4	ERCHNYXA	Fairport	3
AMHRNYMP	Amnerst NY	5	NYCXNYTB	Trebout Ave. NY	4	FAPTNYXB	Perinton	3
NYCONYAS	Astona NY	5	"NWNNYTW	Tonawanda NY	4	HNRTNYXA	Erie Station	3
NYCKNYAY	Ave " NY	5	THONYTU	Tuckahoe NY	4	ROCHNYXB	Rochester - Stone	3
NYCONYBA	Baraide NY	5	JTICNYUT	Utica NY	4	ROCHNYXE	Rochester - Norton	3
NTULINTUA	CB 12/05 IA (J	211014101			-		

Staff List of Wire Centers With 3 or More CLEC Switches Serving Residential Customers Only

per TRO Footnote 432

CLLI Code	Wire Center Name	Count
NYCMNY30	E. 30Th St. NY	4
NYCMNY37	E. 37Th St. NY	4
ALBYNYGO	Guilderland NY	3
ALBYNYSS	Albany-State St. NY	3
ALBYNYWA	Albany-Washington Ave. NY	3
FLPKNYFP	Florai Park NY	3
FRPTNYFP	Freeport NY	3
HMPSNYHS	Hempstead NY	3
HNSTNYHU	Huntington NY	3
LYBRNYLB	Lynbrook NY	3
MINLNYMI	Mineola NY	3
NYCMNY13	Second Ave. NY	3
NYCMNY18	W. 18Th St. NY	3
NYCMNY36	W. 36Th St. NY	3
NYCMNY42	W. 42Nd St. NY	3
NYCMNY50	W. 50Th St. NY	3
NYCMNY56	E. 56Th St. NY	3
NYCMNYWS	140 West St. NY	3
PCHGNYPH	Patchogue NY	3

Frontier of Rochester Service Territory

No Wire Centers with 3 or More CLECs

- * update November 17, 2003 list of routes having 3 or more * transport facilities of any type
- if transports>=3

	if transports>=3				
				Rochester	applicable Verizon
219	routes	total count 219	inter lats routes	routes 57	routes 135
	1 ALBYNYGD,ALBYNYSS	3	38	9(
	2 ALBYNYGD.8FLONYFR	3	inter lata		yes
	3 ALBYNYGD.BFLONYHE	3	enter lata		
	ALBYNYGD,BFLONYMA	3	inter lata		
	5 ALBYNYGD,SCHNNYSC	3	***************************************		yes
	6 ALBYNYGO,SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		740
	7 ALBYNYGD, SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
	B ALBYNYGD, WSVLNYNC	3	inter tata		
	ALBYNYSS ALBYNYWA	3			yes
1	O ALBYNYSS BFLONYFR	4	inter tata		,
1	1 ALBYNYSS,BFLONYHE	3	inter lete		
1:	2 ALBYNYSS,BFLONYMA	3	inter tetu		
1	3 ALBYNYSS,BITNNYXA	3	inter tete	rochester	
1-	4 ALBYNYSS,ERCHNYXA	3	inter lete	rochester	
1	5 ALBYNYSS,NYCMNY36	3	irolger fabliga		
	6 ALBYNYSS.ROCHNYXA	3	inter lata	rochester	
	7 ALBYNYSS,ROCHNYXB	3	inter lata	rochester	
	8 ALBYNYSS,SCHNNYSC	3			yes
	9 ALBYNYSS.SYRCNYEP	3	inler late		
	O ALBYNYSS,SYRCNYSU	5	mier lata		
	1 ALBYNYSS,TROYNYO4	3			yes
	2 ALBYNYSS,WSVLNYNC	3	inler tata		
	3 AMHRNYMP BFLONYFR	3			yes
	4 AMHRNYMP,BFLONYHE	3			yes
_	5 AMHRNYMP.WSVLNYNC	3			yes
	6 BFLONYBA, BFLONYEL	3 3			yes
	7 BFLONYBA, BFLONYFR	_			yes
	8 BFLONYBA.BFLONYHE	3			yes
	9 BFLONYBA, WSNCNYUN	3			yes
	0 BFLONYBA,WSVLNYNC	3 3			yes
	1 BFLONYEL.BFLONYFR 2 BFLONYEL.BFLONYHE	3			yes yes
	3 BFLONYEL WSNCNYUN	3			yes yes
	4 BFLONYEL.WSVLNYNC	3			yes
	5 BFLONYFR BFLONYHE	Ţ			yes
	6 BFLONYFR BFLONYMA	3			yes
	7 BFLONYFR.BITNNYXA	3		rochester	,
	B BFLONYFR ERCHNYXA	3		rochester	
	9 BFLONYFR,ROCHNYXA	3		rochester	
	O BFLONYFR.ROCHNYXB	3		rochester	
4	1 BFLONYFR,SCHNNYSC	3	inter lata		
4	2 BFLONYFR, SYRCNYEP	3	inler lata		
4	3 BFLONYFR, SYRCNYSU	4	inter lata		
4	4 BFLONYFR,WSNCNYUN	3			yes
4	IS BFLONYFR.WSVLNYNC	4			yes
4	6 BFLONYHE.BFLONYMA	3			yes
	17 BFLONYHE,SCHNNYSC	3	inter leta		
	8 BFLONYHE SYRONYEP	3	inter lata		
	19 BFLONYHE SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
	SO BFLONYHE, WSNCNYUN	3			yes
	51 BFLONYHE, WSVLNYNC	4			yes
	52 BFLONYMA SCHNINYSC	3	enter tata		
	33 BFLONYMA SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		
	54 BFLONYMA SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		uaa.
	55 BFLONYMA. ASVLNYNC	3 5		mchesier	yes
	56 BITNNYXA.ERCHNYXA	7		rochester	
	57 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXA 58 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXB	÷		rochester	
	59 BITNNYXA,RUCHNYXB 59 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXC	3		rochester	
	SO BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXO	3		rochester	
	SU BITNNYXA.ROCHNYXF	7		rochester	
	52 BITNNYXA.ROCHNYXH	÷		rochester	
	SS BITNNYXA.ROCHNYXK	:		rochester	
	54 BITNNYXA.SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata	rochester	
	SS BITNNYXA, WBSTNYXA	<u>.,</u>		rochester	
	66 BRWDNYBW DRPKNYDP	3		- -	yes
	57 BRWDNYBW GRCYNYGC	3			yes
•		-			,

Case 03-C-0821

Attachment 3, Page 2 of 3

			Rochester	applicable Verizon
CO DOMONOCOM AND CO	total count	inter lata routes	routes	routes
68 BRWDNYBW,NYCMNY56 69 BRWDNYBW,NYCMNYVS	5			yes
70 BRWDNYBW.WHPLNYWP	3 3			yes
71 DRPKNYDP.NYCMNY58	3			yes
72 ERCHNYXA.ROCHNYXA	4		rochester	y es
73 ERCHNYXA,ROCHNYXB	5		rochester	
74 ERCHNYXA, ROCHNYXC	3		rochester	
75 ERCHNYXA.ROCHNYXD	4		rochester	
76 ERCHNYXA,ROCHNYXF	4		rochester	
77 ERCHNYXA, ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
78 ERCHNYXA,ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
79 ERCHNYXA SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata	rochester	
BO ERCHNYXA WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
81 GRCYNYGC,MINLNYMI 82 GRCYNYGC,NYCMNY58	4			y es
83 GRCYNYGC,NYCMNYBS	3			yes
84 GRCYNYGC,NYCMNYVS	3			yes yes
85 GRCYNYGC.WHPLNYWP	3			yes
86 MINLNYMI,NYCMNY56	3			yes
87 MINLNYMI,WHPLNYWP	3			yes
55 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY13	3			y 95
89 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY18	5			y 46 6
90 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY30	3			y es
91 NYCKNYBR, NYCMNY36	3			yes
92 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY37 93 NYCKNYBR.NYCMNY42	3 3			y es
94 NYCKNYBR.NYCMNY50	3			y es
95 NYCKNYBR, NYCMNY56	3			yes yes
96 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY79	3			Y85
97 NYCKNYBR NYCMNYBS	4			y es
96 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNYVS	3			yes
99 NYCKNYBR.NYCMNYWS	3			yes
100 NYCKNYBR,WHPLNYWP	3			yes
101 NYCMNY13 NYCMNY18	5			yes
102 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY30	8			yes
103 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY36 104 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY37	6 6			yes.
105 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY42	6			yes yes
106 NYCMNY13 NYCMNY50	6			yes yes
107 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY56	6			yes
108 NYCMNY13 NYCMNY79	3			yes.
109 NYCMNY13.NYCMNYBS	6			yes
110 NYCMNY13,NYCMNYVS	3			/ e 5
111 NYCMNY13,NYCMNYWS	8			yes
112 NYCMNY13,WHPLNYWP	3			yes
113 NYCMNY18.NYCMNY30 114 NYCMNY18.NYCMNY36	5 6			yes.
115 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY37	5			yes yes
116 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY42	5			yes
117 NYCMNY18.NYCMNY50	5			yes
118 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY56	5			yes
119 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY79	4			yes
120 NYCMNY18,NYCMNYBS	5			yes
121 NYCMNY18,NYCMNYVS	6			yes
122 NYCMNY18.NYCMNYWS 123 NYCMNY18.WHPLNYWP	5 4			/es
123 NYCMNY18,4YHPLNYWP 124 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY36	7			yes yes
125 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY37	8			yes yes
126 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY42	9			yes
127 NYCMNY3D,NYCMNY50	6			yes
128 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY56	6			· es
129 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY79	3			yes
130 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
131 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYVS	3			yes
132 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYWS	fi 3			/BS
133 NYCMNY30,WHPLNYWP 134 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY37	3 8			yes yes
134 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY37 135 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY42	12			yes yes
136 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY50	7			/es
137 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY56	6			y es
138 NYCMNY36.NYCMNY79	3			/es
139 NYCMNY36,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
140 NYCMNY36,NYCMNYVS	3			/es
141 NYCMNY36,NYCMNYWS	6			yes

Attachment 3, Page 3 of 3

			Rochester	applicable Vertzon
routes	total count	inter lata routes	routes	routes
142 NYCMNY36,WHPLNYWP	3 7			yes
143 NYCMNY37.NYCMNY42 144 NYCMNY37.NYCMNY50	6			yes yes
145 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY56	8			yes.
148 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY79	3			yes
147 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY97	3			yes
148 NYCMNY37,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
149 NYCMNY37,NYCMNYVS 150 NYCMNY37,NYCMNYWS	3 7			yes yes
151 NYCMNY37,WHPLNYWP	3			y es
152 NYCMNY42,NYCMNY50	6			yes
153 NYCMNY42,NYCMNY56	6			yes .
154 NYCMNY42.NYCMNY79	3			yes yes
155 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYBS 158 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYVS	6 3			yes yes
157 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYWS	6			y es
158 NYCMNY42,WHPLNYWP	3			yes.
150 NYCMNY50,NYCMNY56	8			yes
160 NYCMNYSO,NYCMNY73	4			y es yes
161 NYCMNY50,NYCMNY79 162 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYBS	6 7			yes
163 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYVS	3			y#6
164 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
165 NYCMNY50,WHPLNYWP	3			yes
166 NYCMNY56.NYCMNY73	4			yes
167 NYCMNY56,NYCMNY79 168 NYCMNY56,NYCMNYBS	6 7			yea yea
168 NYCMNY56.NYCMNY55	5			yes
170 NYCMNY56,NYCMNYWS	7			yes
171 NYCMNY56,WHPLNYWP	5			y es
172 NYCMNY73,NYCMNY79	4			yes
173 NYCMNY79,NYCMNYBS	3			yes yes
174 NYCMNY79,NYCMNYVS	3			yes
175 NYCMNY79,NYCMNYWS 176 NYCMNY79,WHPLNYWP	3			y es
177 NYCMNYBS,NYCMNYVS	3			y es
178 NYCMNYBS,NYCMNYWS	В			y es
179 NYCMNYBS,WHPLNYWP	4			yes
180 NYCMNYVS.NYCMNYWS	3			yes yes
181 NYCMNYVS,WHPLNYWP	5 3			yes
182 NYCMNYWS.WHPLNYWP 183 ROCHNYXA,ROCHNYXB	4		rochester	,
184 ROCHNYXA ROCHNYXC	3		rochester	
185 ROCHNYXA.ROCHNYXD	3		rochester	
186 ROCHNYXA, ROCHNYXF	3		rochester	
187 ROCHNYXA,ROCHNYXH	3 3		rochesier rochesier	
188 ROCHNYXA,ROCHNYXX 189 ROCHNYXA,SYRCNYSU	3	inter late	rochester	
190 ROCHNYXA,WBSTNYXA	3		rochester	
191 ROCHNYXB.ROCHNYXC	3		rochester	
192 ROCHNYXB.ROCHNYXD	4		rochester	
193 ROCHNYXB ROCHNYXF	4		rochester	
194 ROCHNYXB.ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
195 ROCHNYXB,ROCHNYXK 196 ROCHNYXB,SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata	rochester	
197 ROCHNYXB WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
198 ROCHNYXC ROCHNYXD	3		rochester	
199 ROCHNYXC ROCHNYXF	3		rochester rochester	
200 ROCHNYXC,ROCHNYXH	3		rochester	
201 ROCHNYXC ROCHNYXK 202 ROCHNYXC WBSTNYXA	3		rochester	
203 ROCHNYXD,ROCHNYXF	7		rochester	
204 ROCHNYXO, ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
205 ROCHNYXD.ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
206 ROCHNYXD, WB\$TNYXA	4		rochester rochester	
207 ROCHNYXF,ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
208 ROCHNYXF,ROCHNYXK 209 ROCHNYXF,WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
210 ROCHNYXH,ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
211 ROCHNYXH WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
212 ROCHNYXK, WB\$TNYXA	4		rochester	
213 SCHNNYSC.SYRCNYEP	3	nter lata		
214 SCHNNYSC,SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
215 SCHNNYSC,WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		yes
218 SYRCNYEP, SYRCNYSU 217 SYRCNYEP, WSVLNYNC	3 3	inter lata		,
218 SYRCNYSU.WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		
219 WSNCNYUN,WSVLNYNC	3			yes

Case 03-C-0821

Attachment 4, Page 1 of 1

- * Competitive wholesale facilities trigger for dedicated DS1 transport
- *FCC Rules, Section 51.319 (e) (1) (il)

if count ds1_w>=2

			inter lata	Rochester	applicable Verizon
36	routes	total count	routes	routes	routes
		36	0	0	36
	1 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY30	8			yes
	2 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY36	6			yes
:	3 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY37	6			yes
	4 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY42	6			yes
!	5 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY50	6			yes
	6 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY56	6			yes
	7 NYCMNY13,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	8 NYCMNY13,NYCMNYWS	8			yes
	9 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY36	7			yes
1	0 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY37	8			yes
1	1 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY42	9			yes
1	2 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY50	6			yes
1	3 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY56	6			yes
1	4 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
1	5 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYWS	6	•		yes
1	6 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY37	8			yes
1	7 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY42	12			yes
1	8 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY50	7			yes
1	9 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY56	6			yes
2	0 NYCMNY36,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
2	1 NYCMNY36,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
2	2 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY42	7			yes
2	3 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY50	6			yes
2	4 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY56	8			yes
2	5 NYCMNY37,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
2	6 NYCMNY37,NYCMNYWS	7			y es
2	7 NYCMNY42,NYCMNY50	6			yes
2	8 NYCMNY42,NYCMNY56	6			yes
2	9 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
3	0 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
3	1 NYCMNY50,NYCMNY56	8			yes
3	2 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYBS	7			yes
3	3 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
-	4 NYCMNY56,NYCMNYBS	7			yes
3	5 NYCMNY56,NYCMNYWS	7		•	yes
3	6 NYCMNYBS,NYCMNYWS	8			yes

Attachment 5, Page 1 of 1

- * Self-provisioning trigger for dedicated DS3 transport

 * FCC Rules, Section 51.319 (e) (2) (i) (A)

 if count_ds3_sp>=3

76	roules	total count	inter lata routes	Rochester routes 28	applicable Verizon routes
	1 ALBYNYSS.SYRCNYSU	/ 6	0	28	40 705
	2 BFLONYFR.BFLONYHE	ě			yes yes
	3 BFLONYFR, WSVLNYNC	4			yes
	4 BFLONYHE, WSVLNYNC	4			yes
	5 BITNNYXA,ERCHNYXA	5		rochester	
	5 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXB	5 4		rochester	
	7 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXD 8 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXF	4		rochester	
	9 BITNNYXA.ROCHNYXH	4		rochesier	
	10 BITNIYXA,ROCHIYXK	4		rochester	
	11 BITNINYXA,WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
	12 ERCHNYXA,ROCHNYX8	5 4		rochester	
	13 ERCHNYXA, ROCHNYXD 14 ERCHNYXA, ROCHNYXF	1		rochester rochester	
	15 ERCHNYXA ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
	18 ERCHNYXA, ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
	17 ERCHNYXA,WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
	18 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY18	5			y ==
	19 NYCKNYBR,NYCMNYBS 20 NYCKNYBR NYCMNYWS	4			yes ves
	21 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY30	8			yes
	2Z NYCMNY13,NYCMNY36	6			yes
	23 NYCMNY13 NYCMNY37	6			yes
	24 NYCHINY13,NYCMNY42	6			yes
	25 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY50 26 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY56	6 6			yes ves
	27 NYCMNY13,NYCMNYBS	6			786
	28 NYCMNY13 NYCMNYWS	ē			yes
	29 NYCMNY18.NYCMNY36	5			yes
	30 NYCMNY18,NYCMNYWS	5			yes
	31 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY38	7 B			yes
	32 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY37 33 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY42	9			yes yes
	34 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY50	6			yes
	35 NYCMNY30.NYCMNY56	ઢ			yes
	36 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	37 NYCMNY30,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
	38 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY37	8 12			yes yes
	39 NYCMNY36.NYCMNY42 40 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY50	12			/es
	41 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY56	ō			yes
	42 NYCMNY36 NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	43 NYCMNY36 NYCMNYWS	6			yes
	44 NYCMNY37 NYCMNY42	7 5			yes yes
	45 NYCMNY37 NYCMNY50 46 NYCMNY37 NYCMNY56	8			yes
	47 NYCMNY37 NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	48 NYCMNY37 NYCMNYWS	7			yes.
	49 NYCMNY42,NYCMNY50	Ð			yes
	50 NYCMNY42,NYCMNY56	đ n			yes ves
	51 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYBS 52 NYCMNY42,NYCMNYWS	ô		•	yes
	53 NYCMNY50 NYCMNY56	8			yes
	54 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYBS	7			yes
	55 NYCMNY50 NYCMNYWS	9			yes
	56 NYCMNY56 NYCMNYBS	7			y es yes
	57 NYCMNY56.NYCMNYWS 58 NYCMNY79.NYCMNYWS	3			yes Yes
	59 NYCHINYBS,NYCMNYWS	ě			yes
	60 NYCMNYVS NYCMNYWS	3			yes
	61 NYCMNYVS WHPLNYWP	5			yes
	62 ROCHNYXB,ROCHNYXO	4		rochester	
	63 ROCHNYXB ROCHNYXF	4		rochester rochester	
	64 ROCHNYXB ROCHNYXH 65 ROCHNYXB ROCHNYXK	٠		rochester	
	66 ROCHNYXB WBSTNYXA	ī		rochester	
	67 ROCHNYXD.ROCHNYXF	4		rocheste/	
	68 ROCHNYXD,ROCHNYXH	1		rochester	
	69 ROCHNYXD,ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
	70 ROCHNYXD,WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
	71 ROCHNYXF ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
	72 ROCHNYXF.ROCHNYXK 73 ROCHNYXF.WBSTNYXA			rochester	
	74 ROCHNYXH ROCHNYXK	1		rochester	
	75 ROCHNYXH,WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
	76 ROCHNYXK,WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	

Case 03-C-0821

Attachment 6, Page 1 of 1

- * Competitive wholesale facilities trigger for dedicated DS3 transport
- * FCC Rules, Section 51.319 (e) (2) (i) (B)

if count_ds3_w>=2

37	routes	total count	inter lata routes 0	Rochester routes 0	applicable Verizon routes 37
1 NVC	CMNY13,NYCMNY30	8	•	•	yes
	CMNY13,NYCMNY36	6			yes
	CMNY13,NYCMNY37	6			yes
	CMNY13,NYCMNY42	6			yes
	CMNY13,NYCMNY50	6			yes
	CMNY13,NYCMNY56	6			yes
	CMNY13,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	CMNY13.NYCMNYWS	8			yes
	CMNY18.NYCMNY36	6			yes
	CMNY30,NYCMNY36	7			yes
	CMNY30.NYCMNY37	8			yes
	CMNY30,NYCMNY42	9			yes
	CMNY30,NYCMNY50	6			yes
	CMNY30,NYCMNY56	6			yes
	CMNY30,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	CMNY30,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
	CMNY36.NYCMNY37	8			yes
	CMNY36,NYCMNY42	12			yes
	CMNY36,NYCMNY50	7			yes
•	CMNY36,NYCMNY56	6			yes
	CMNY36,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	CMNY36,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
	CMNY37.NYCMNY42	7			yes
24 NY	CMNY37,NYCMNY50	6			yes
	CMNY37,NYCMNY56	8			ye s
	CMNY37,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	CMNY37,NYCMNYWS	7			yes
28 NY	CMNY42,NYCMNY50	6			yes
29 NY	CMNY42,NYCMNY56	6			yes
30 NY	CMNY42,NYCMNYBS	6			yes
31 NY	CMNY42,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
32 NY	CMNY50,NYCMNY56	8			yes
33 NY	CMNY50,NYCMNYBS	7			yes
34 NY	CMNY50,NYCMNYWS	6			yes
	CMNY56,NYCMNYBS	7			yes
36 NY	CMNY56,NYCMNYWS	7			yes
37 NY	CMNYBS,NYCMNYWS	8			yes

- * Self-provisioning trigger for dark fiber transport

 * FCC Rules, Section 51.319 (e) (3) (i) (A)

 if count_df_sp>=3

72		total manus	inter lata	Rochester	applicable Verizon
12	routes	total count 72	routes 26	routes 0	routes 46
	1 ALBYNYGD ALBYNYSS	3		•	y e 6
	2 ALBYNYGD, BFLONYFR	3	inter lata		•
	3 ALBYNYGD, BFLONYHE	3	inter tata		
	4 ALBYNYGD BFLONYMA	3	inter lata		
	5 ALBYNYGD,SCHNNYSC 6 ALBYNYGD,SYRCNYEP	3 3	intor tata		y es
	7 ALBYNYGD,SYRCNYSU	3	intertata intertata		
	8 ALBYNYGD, WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		
	9 ALBYNYSS,ALBYNYWA	3			yes
	10 ALBYNYSS,BFLONYFR	4	inler lala		
	11 ALBYNYSS, BFLONYHE 12 ALBYNYSS, BFLONYMA	3 3	inter lete inter lete		
	13 ALBYNYSS SCHONYSC	3	***************************************		ves
	14 ALBYNYSS, SYRCHYEP	3	inter lata		
	15 ALBYNYSS,SYRCNYSU	5	inter lata		
	16 ALBYNYSS TROYNY04	3			y 05
	17 ALBYNYSS, WSVLNYNC 18 BFLONYFR, BFLONYHE	3 4	mier lata		, made
	19 BFLONYFR BFLONYMA	3			yes yes
	20 BFLONYFR SCHINNYSC	3	inter lata		,—
	21 BFLONYFR SYRCHYEP	3	inter lata		
	22 BFLONYFR, SYRCNYSU	4	inter lata		
	23 BFLONYFR WSVLNYNC	4			yes
	24 BELONYHE SELONYMA	3 3	inter lata		y es
	26 BFLONYHE,SCHMNYSC 26 BFLONYHE,SYRCNYEP	3	inter tata		
	27 BFLONYHE, SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
	28 BFLONYHE, WSVLNYNC	4			yes
	29 BFLONYMA, SCHNNYSC	3	inter lata		
	30 BFLONYMA, SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		
	31 BFLONYMA, SYRCNYSU 32 BFLONYMA, WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		y es
	33 NYCMNY 13 NYCMNY 18	5			y e s
	34 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY30	8			yes.
	35 NYCMNY 13,NYCMNYWS	8			y ∈ 5
	36 NYCMNY18.NYCMNY30	5			yes.
	37 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY35 38 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY37	6 5			yes yes
	39 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY42	5			y es
	40 NYCMNY18 NYCMNY50	5			y es
	41 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY58	5			y 9 5
	42 NYCMNY18,NYCMNY79	4			yes
	43 NYCMNY 18, NYCMNYBS	5 6			yes ∨es
	44 NYCMNY18,NYCMNYVS 45 NYCMNY18,NYCMNYWS	5			y es
	46 NYCMNY 18 WHPLNYWP	4			yes.
	47 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY36	7			yes
	4B NYCMNY30,NYCMNY37	8			y es
	49 NYCMNY30,NYCMNY42	9			y e 5
	50 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY37 51 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY42	8 12			yes ves
	52 NYCMNY36,NYCMNY50	7			yes
	53 NYCMNY37.NYCMNY42	7			yes
	54 NYCMNY37,NYCMNY56	а			yes
	55 NYCMNY37.NYCMNYWS	7 8			yes
	56 NYCMNY50,NYCMNY56 57 NYCMNY50,NYCMNY73	4			y e s yes
	SE NYCMNYSC,NYCMNY79	6			yes
	59 NYCMNY50,NYCMNYBS	7			yes
	60 NYCMNY56,NYCMNY73	4			y e 5
	61 NYCMNY56,NYCMNY79	5 7			yes os
	62 NYCMNYS6.NYCMNYBS	7			yes yes
	63 NYCMNY56,NYCMNYWS 64 NYCMNY73,NYCMNY79	4			yes V8\$
	65 NYCMNYB5,NYCMNYWS	8			yes
	66 NYCMNYBS.WHPLNYWP	4			yes
	67 SCHNNYSC.SYRCNYEP	3	nter lata		
	68 SCHNNYSC,SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
	69 SCHNNYSC.WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		
	70 SYRONYEP, SYRONYSU	3	anter Indo		y 05
	71 SYRCNYEP, WSVLNYNC 72 SYRCNYSU WSVLNYNC	3	inter lala inter lala		
		-			

Case 03-C-0821

Attachment 8, Page 1 of 1

- * Competitive wholesale facilities trigger for dark fiber transport
- * FCC Rules, Section 51.319 (e) (3) (i) (B)

if count_df_w>=2

0	routes	total count 0	inter lata routes 0	Rochester routes	applicable Verizon routes 0
	no observations				

- * Any of 5 triggers for dedicated DS1, DS3, dark fiber transport

 * FCC Rules, Section 51.319 (e) (1), (2) & (3)

 if count_ds1_w>=2 or count_ds3_sp>=3 or count_ds3_w>=2

 or count df_sp>=3 or count_df_w>=2

				Rochester	applicable Verizon
126	route	total count	inter lata routes	routes	routes
	AL DVINCO AL DVINCO	126	26	28	72
	ALBYNYGD,ALBYNYSS ALBYNYGD,BFLONYFR	3 3	: t		y e s
	ALBYNYGD,BFLONYHE	3	inter lata inter lata		
	ALBYNYGD.BFLONYMA	3	inter lata		
	ALBYNYGD.SCHNNYSC	3	wher are		yes
6	ALBYNYGD,SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		,
7	ALBYNYGD,SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
	ALBYNYGD,WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		
	ALBYNYSS,ALBYNYWA	3			yes
	ALBYNYSS,BFLONYFR	4	inter lata		
	ALBYNYSS,BFLONYHE	3	inter lata		
	ALBYNYSS,BFLONYMA	3	inter lata		
	ALBYNYSS,SCHINNYSC	3 3			yes
	ALBYNYSS,SYRONYEP S ALBYNYSS,SYRONYSU	3 5	inter lata		
	ALBYNYSS,TROYNY04	3	inter lata		Yes
	ALBYNYSS.WSVLNYNC	3	inter lata		100
	BFLONYFR BFLONYHE	4	***************************************		yes
	BFLONYFR,BFLONYMA	3			yes
	BFLONYFR.SCHNNYSC	3	inter lata		•
21	BFLONYFR,SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		
22	2 BFLONYFR,SYRCNYSU	4	inter lata		
	BFLONYFR,WSVLNYNC	4			yes
	BFLONYHE,BFLONYMA	3			yes
	S BFLONYHE SCHNNYSC	3	inter lata		
	BFLONYHE, SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		
	7 BFLONYHE.SYRCNYSU	3 4	inter lata		
	BFLONYHE,WSVLNYNC BFLONYMA,SCHNNYSC	3	inter lata		yes
	BFLONYMA.SYRCNYEP	3	inter lata		
	BFLONYMA SYRCNYSU	3	inter lata		
	BFLONYMA, WSVLNYNC	3			yes
	BITNNYXA,ERCHNYXA	5		rochester	•
34	BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXB	5		rochester	
35	5 BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXD	4		rochester	
	BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXF	4		rochester	
	BITNNYXA,ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
	BITNNYXA.ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
	BITNNYXA,WBSTNYXA DERCHNYXA,ROCHNYXB	4 5		rochester rochester	
	I ERCHNYXA,ROCHNYXD	4		rochester	
	ERCHNYXA,ROCHNYXF	4		rochester	
	B ERCHNYXA.ROCHNYXH	4		rochester	
	ERCHNYXA.ROCHNYXK	4		rochester	
45	ERCHNYXA.WBSTNYXA	4		rochester	
46	NYCKNYBR,NYCMNY18	5			yes
4	7 NYCKNYBR.NYCMNYBS	4			yes
48	NYCKNYBR,NYCMNYWS	3			y e s
	9 NYCMNY13.NYCMNY18	5			yes
	NYCMNY13.NYCMNY30	8			yes
-	I NYCMNY13,NYCMNY36	6 6			yes
	2 NYCMNY13.NYCMNY37	6			yes yes
	3 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY42 4 NYCMNY13,NYCMNY50	6			yes yes
	5 NYCMNY13 NYCMNY56	6			yes
	NYCMNY13.NYCMNYBS	6			yes
	7 NYCMNY13.NYCMNYWS	8			yes
	NYCMNY18.NYCMNY30	5			yes
~		-			,